

## topDNS Report: Monthly Analysis for ISPs

**An initiative by eco –  
Association of the Internet Industry  
in collaboration with AV-TEST**

May 2025

**topDNS**

An initiative by **eco**

**eco**

ASSOCIATION OF THE  
INTERNET INDUSTRY



# Contents

Contents .....	2
Report Summary.....	3
Methodology .....	5
Chart: Aggregate Malware Trends.....	7
Chart: Aggregate Phishing Trends .....	11
Chart: Aggregated Share of Top50 ASNs .....	14
Background.....	16
Mission .....	16
Data & Sources .....	16
About .....	18
eco – Association of the Internet Industry .....	18
topDNS Initiative .....	18
AV-TEST Institute .....	18

## Report Summary

This report is the fifth publication from the topDNS Initiative's measurement initiative, topDNS Report: Monthly Analysis for ISPs. The purpose of this report is to establish a credible source of metrics for addressing abuse among Internet Service Providers (ISPs). We hope that it will facilitate targeted discussions and pinpoint opportunities to reduce abuse throughout the entire Internet ecosystem.

We also hope that future editions of the report will provide an opportunity to recognise good practice and highlight areas for improvement within the industry. Through these reports, we aim to identify effective factors, policies and processes, and provide the industry with evidence.

While we expect to provide much greater granularity and detail in future reports, this fifth edition of the topDNS Report focuses on higher-level, aggregated data from June 2024 to April 2025. This higher-level approach has been taken for this report to allow for further data collection and to gather feedback on what would be most helpful in future reports.

Key highlights from the overall data in the month of April 2025 include:

- **A general decrease in malware, PUA and other malware distribution, with a lower number of unique URLs associated with this activity.**

In April 2025, malware URLs fell to 401,518 (-20.34% vs. March), potentially unwanted applications (PUAs) declined to 18,739 (-6.79%), and 'other' content dropped sharply to 14,600 (-63.34%). In April 2025, the composition shifted to 92% malware, 4% PUA, and 4% 'other' content, compared to 89% malware and 7% 'other' content in March. July 2024 remains the high for all three categories, while the lows have shifted: malware is still lowest in December 2024 (368,246), but April 2025 set new lows for PUAs (18,739) and 'other' content (14,600). The period averages now stand at 607,127 (malware), 32,491 (PUA) and 48,594 ('other').

- **A general upward trend in the number of unique URLs being used for potential phishing, with a new peak in April 2025.**

Potential phishing increased again to 542,081 in April (+6.99% compared to the previous month), establishing a new high for the reporting period and extending the rise seen since January. This level is well above the period average of 363,778, with the low section remaining December 2024 (140,303).



- **A general decrease in the number of unique URLs used for verified phishing was observed.**

Verified phishing declined to 9,297 in April (-6.46% compared to the previous month), remaining below the reporting-period average of 15,343. The high and low contents are unchanged: July 2024 remains the peak (35,421) and September 2024 the trough (6,342).

- **The aggregated Share of Top50 ASNs.**

In April 2025, the Top 50 ASNs accounted for 373,181 malicious URLs: 343,056 malware (91.93%), 18,154 PUAs (4.86%) and 11,971 'other' content (3.21%). Across December 2024 to April 2025, totals reached 6,103,774 URLs, comprising 5,340,395 malware, 309,329 PUAs and 454,050 'other' content.

As these data collection efforts are just beginning, we are not attempting to draw any conclusions from the data at this time. We look forward to reviewing the data as patterns emerge over time. However, we can offer some initial insights into how the methodology captures the data, which will provide a basis for understanding this complex issue in the future.

We encourage all readers to review this report and its methodology, as well as the data, and to contact us with any questions, ideas or suggestions that could help us improve and expand it. After all, our goal is to help the Internet industry and the wider community become better equipped to fight online abuse. The topDNS Initiative will publish this and future reports on the [topDNS website](#).

For more information on the topDNS Initiative's mission and the data and sources used, please refer to the 'Background' section at the end of this document.

## Methodology

Understanding general trends in online abuse is useful for grasping phishing and malware across the ISP ecosystem, as well as identifying high-level trends over time. This report presents aggregated data for all months recorded at the time of publication.

### The malware methodology includes the following labels:

- **Malware:** The majority of AV-TEST's scan results conclude that the sample belongs to the 'malware' category. This includes classic viruses and Trojans, but is also subdivided internally into malware families and names.
- **PUA:** This stands for 'Potentially Unwanted Application'. Such applications/samples do not directly exhibit malware behaviour, but they can disrupt the user experience through aggressive advertising, hidden functions, or impaired system performance.
- **Other:** This includes samples that cannot be attributed automatically to malware or potentially unwanted applications (PUAs).

Each URL is followed by a downloadable file (either directly or as a web page in the form of an HTML file). These files are downloaded and analysed by AV-TEST tools (VTEST -> AV multi-scanner system). These downloaded files are referred to as 'samples'.

### The phishing methodology includes the following labels:

- **Potential Phishing:** URLs/websites that AV-TEST receives from phishing blocklists or whose source code generates a 'phishing' detection in VTEST's static analysis are declared as 'potential phishing'. (Potential) Phishing URLs are not only downloaded, but also visualised via a browser screenshot, which is used for AV-TEST's visual phishing analysis (Phinder).
- **Verified Phishing:** All 'Potential Phishing' URLs are checked with an automated visual comparison of the screenshots. This is based on manual pre-work, where screenshots are classified as 'Phishing' or 'No Phishing' by AV-TEST staff. If a 'Potential Phishing' URL is found to be similar to a 'Verified Phishing' URL, it is automatically classified as such.

This report uses the following definitions for Uniform Resource Locator (URL), Internet Service Provider (ISP), and Autonomous System Number (ASN):

- **Uniform Resource Locator (URL):** A URL is the address of a specific resource on the Internet. It consists of several components, including the protocol (e.g., HTTP or HTTPS), the domain name (e.g., example.com), and the path to the resource (e.g., /page). URLs are used to locate and access websites, images, videos, and other online content.



- **Internet Service Provider (ISP):** An ISP is a company or organisation that provides Internet access to individuals and businesses. ISPs offer various connection types, including broadband, fibre, DSL and mobile data. ISPs are responsible for transferring data between users and the Internet, and they often offer additional services such as email hosting and web hosting, and security features.
- **Autonomous System Number (ASN):** An ASN is a unique identifier assigned to an Autonomous System (AS), which is a network or group of Internet Protocol (IP) prefixes under the control of a single administrative entity, such as an Internet Service Provider (ISP), cloud provider, or large enterprise.

## Chart: Aggregate Malware Trends

This chart provides a high-level view of how many malicious URLs with ASNs have been identified by the methodology and how abuse on the Internet is changing over time. It shows the absolute volume of unique URLs the methodology has identified that are engaged in phishing, malware, PUA and other malware, broken down by category:

- **Malware URLs**
- **PUA URLs**
- **Other URLs**

A total of **7,570,325 malicious URLs with ASNs** were identified in the period June 2024 to April 2025, of which:

- **6,678,392 URLs** could be **verified as malware**,
- **357,399 URLs** have been **classified as PUA**, and
- **534,535 URLs** as **other**.

The **most malicious URLs for all three categories** were identified in **July 2024**. The **lowest numbers were recorded in December 2024 for malware**, while both PUAs and 'other' content reached their lowest point in **April 2025**.

### Malicious URLs




Figure 1: Aggregate Malware Trends - **Malicious URLs** - April 2025

## History of Malicious URLs




Figure 2: Aggregate Malware Trends - **History of Malicious URLs - June 2024 to April 2025**



## History of Malicious URLs

	Malware	Change	PUA	Change	Other	Change
June 2024	480,257		30,108		48,588	
July 2024	859,658	+79.00%	52,043	+72.85%	77,712	+59.94%
Aug 2024	844,986	-1.71%	35,701	-31.40%	64,877	-16.52%
Sept 2024	636,693	-24.65%	37,805	+5.89%	44,214	-31.58%
Oct 2024	730,895	+14.80%	36,821	-2.60%	64,882	+46.75%
Nov 2024	761,550	-4.19%	41,235	+11.99%	62,622	-3.48%
Dec 2024	368,246	-51.65%	22,345	-45.81%	28,432	-54.60%
Jan 2025	531,473	+44.33%	30,652	+37.18%	42,139	+48.21%
Feb 2025	559,089	+5.20%	31,846	+3.90%	46,639	+10.68%
Mar 2025	504,027	-9.85%	20,104	-36.87%	39,830	-14.60%
Apr 2025	401,518	-20.34%	18,739	-6.79%	14,600	-63.34%
Total	6,678,392		357,399		534,535	

Table 1: Aggregate Malware Trends - History of Malicious URLs - June 2024 to April 2025

## Key Figures of Malicious URLs

	Malware	Month	PUA	Month	Other	Change
High	859,658	July 2024	52,043	July 2024	77,712	July 2024
Low	368,246	Dec 2024	18,739	Apr 2025	14,600	Apr 2025
Average	607,127		32,491		48,594	

Table 2: Aggregate Trends - Key Figures of Malicious URLs - June 2024 to April 2025



## Commentary

The analysis shows unique malicious URLs with ASNs across three categories (malware, PUAs and 'other' content). Between June 2024 and April 2025, 7,570,325 such URLs were identified: 6,678,392 malware, 357,398 potentially unwanted applications (PUAs), and 534,535 'other' content. Over the full period, malware accounted for roughly 88% of observations, with PUAs at about 4.7% and 'other' content at about 7%.

**In April 2025, overall volumes declined across all categories:** malware fell to 401,518 URLs (-20.34% vs. March), PUAs declined to 18,739 (-6.79%), and 'other' content dropped sharply to 14,600 (-63.34%). The category mix shifted accordingly, with 92% malware, 4% PUA, and 4% 'other' content, compared to 89% malware, 4% PUA, and 7% 'other' in March.

There is **no change to the previous report regarding the highest levels:** July 2024 remains the peak month for all three categories. **The lows, however, changed again:** malware remains lowest in December 2024, while both PUAs and 'other' set new lows in April 2025.

April values also fell below the period averages of 607,127 (malware), 32,491 (PUA) and 48,594 ('other' content), reinforcing the role of malware volumes as the main driver of the aggregate curve.

## Chart: Aggregate Phishing Trends

This chart provides an overview of how many phishing URLs with ASNs have been identified by the methodology, and illustrates how phishing on the Internet is changing over time. It shows the absolute volume of unique URLs identified by the methodology as being involved in the distribution of phishing, broken down by category:

- **(Potential) Phishing URLs**
- **Verified Phishing URLs**

A total of **4,001,561 phishing URLs with ASNs** were identified in the period from June 2024 to April 2025, of which **168,777 URLs** could be **verified**.

There was a further increase in January, February, March and April, with March marking a new peak for potential phishing URLs.

Between June 2024 and April 2025, the **highest number of phishing URLs (both potential and verified)** was identified in **March 2025**. The **fewest of all (potential) phishing URLs** were identified in **December 2024**, while the **fewest of verified phishing URLs** were identified in **September 2024**.

### History of Phishing URLs




Figure 3: Aggregate Trends - History of Phishing URLs - June 2024 to April 2025

## History of All (Potential) and Verified Phishing URLs

	All (potential) phishing	Change	Share	Verified phishing	Change
June 2024	336,532		10.17%	34,225	
July 2024	447,619	+33.01%	7.91%	35,421	+3.49%
Aug 2024	356,659	-20.32%	5.84%	20,826	-41.20%
Sept 2024	262,016	-26.54%	2.42%	6,342	-69.55%
Oct 2024	288,900	+10.26%	3.74%	10,816	+70.55%
Nov 2024	233,486	-19.18%	4.07%	9,493	-12.23%
Dec 2024	140,303	-39.91%	4.56%	6,403	-32.55%
Jan 2025	397,214	+183.11%	3.03%	12,043	+88.08%
Feb 2025	490,080	+23.38%	2.85%	13,972	+16.02%
Mar 2025	506,671	+3.39%	1.96%	9,939	-28.86%
Apr 2025	542,081	+6.99%	1.72%	9,297	-6.46%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,001,561</b>			<b>168,777</b>	

Table 3: Aggregate Trends - History of All (Potential) and Verified Phishing URLs - June 2024 to April 2025

## Key Figures of All (Potential) and Verified Phishing URLs

	All (potential) phishing	Month		Verified phishing	Month
<b>High</b>	542,081	Apr 2025		35,421	July 2024
<b>Low</b>	140,303	Dec 2024		6,342	Sep 2024
<b>Average</b>	<b>363,778</b>			<b>15,343</b>	

Table 4: Aggregate Trends - Key Figures of All (Potential) and Verified Phishing URLs - June 2024 to April 2025



## Commentary

Phishing activity is shown across potential and verified URLs with ASNs. Between June 2024 and April 2025, a total of 4,001,561 phishing URLs were identified, of which 168,777 were verified. **Potential phishing continued to rise** in early 2025, with increases in January, February, March and April, with **April marking a new high** at 542,081 URLs. This was well **above the period average of 363,778**, while the lowest point remained December 2024 with 140,303.

**Verified phishing followed a different pattern**, remaining at much lower levels. In April 2025, 9,297 URLs were recorded, a **decrease compared to March and below the period average** of 15,343. July 2024 continues to stand as the highest month on record at 35,421, while the lowest relates to September 2024 with 6,342. As such, while potential phishing reached another new high, verified phishing highs and lows remain unchanged from previous reports.

## Chart: Aggregated Share of Top50 ASNs

This table provides an anonymized high-level overview of the 50 largest autonomous systems identified by their assigned autonomous system number (ASN).

A **total of 6,103,774 URLs with ASNs** were identified among the Top50 ASNs in April 2025, of which:

- **5,340,395 URLs** could be **verified as malware**,
- **309,329 URLs** have been **classified as PUA**, and
- **454,050 URLs** as **other**.

If you are a network operator, please contact us for further details which of the URLs mentioned above are assigned to your autonomous system number (ASN): [topdns@eco.de](mailto:topdns@eco.de)

### Aggregated Share of Top 50 ASNs

	Malware	Share	PUA	Share	Other	Share	Total
<b>June - Dec 2024</b>	3,684,553	87.03%	217,343	5.13%	331,888	7.84%	4,233,784
<b>Jan 2025</b>	427,507	87.13%	27,240	5.55%	35,902	7.32%	490,649
<b>Feb 2025</b>	462,960	87.11%	28,352	5.33%	40,141	7.55%	531,453
<b>Mar 2025</b>	422,319	88.96%	18,240	3.84%	34,148	7.19%	474,707
<b>Apr 2025</b>	343,056	91.93%	18,154	4.86%	11,971	3.21%	373,181
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,340,395</b>		<b>309,329</b>		<b>454,050</b>		<b>6,103,774</b>

Table 5: Aggregate Trends - **Aggregated Share of Top 50 ASNs - December 2024 to April 2025**

### Commentary

Between December 2024 and April 2025, a total of 6,103,774 malicious URLs were identified within the Top 50 ASNs. Of these, 5,340,395 were verified as malware, 309,329 were classified as potentially unwanted applications (PUAs), and 454,050 as 'other' content. Malware accounted for the overwhelming majority, confirming its dominant role in ASN-related abuse.

In April 2025 alone, the Top 50 ASNs were responsible for 373,181 malicious URLs, comprising 343,056 malware (91.93%), 18,154 PUAs (4.86%), and 11,971 'other' content (3.21%).



This marks the **highest share of malware** and the **lowest share of 'other' content observed in the period**, underlining the extent to which malware dominates ASN-related abuse.

## Background

### Mission

The topDNS Initiative (<https://topdns.eco>) was founded in 2021 by members of eco – Association of the Internet Industry. The stable, safe and secure operation of the DNS has proven to be the foundation for the global expansion of the Internet as a universal public resource. However, like any other innovation and every technology, the Internet and the DNS are vulnerable to abuse, such as malware, botnets, phishing, pharming or spam. The topDNS Initiative and its members are committed to reducing online abuse and strengthening the Internet industry.

This report aims to measure malicious URLs at ISPs to improve the community's understanding of online abuse and ultimately enhance industry practices. We hope it will provide insight into how online abuse is changing over time, enabling concrete, specific conversations about the impact of abuse on not only the domain registration industry, but the Internet industry as a whole.

We intend to use this evidence to drive change within the Internet industry, improving understanding of where online abuse is concentrated and discussing effective ways to prevent and mitigate it. Our aim is to highlight good and best practices, as well as identifying areas for improvement and issues that require attention.

Online abuse affects everyone. We aim to leverage this insight to enhance the overall health of the Internet ecosystem. Our goal is to prevent or swiftly mitigate any harm to end users, businesses, governments, civil society organisations, public services and the general public, while safeguarding the advantages and principles of an open Internet.

Although the ultimate goal is to reduce abuse, mitigation should still take place at the appropriate level. The aim is to provide transparent resources for discussions about the prevalence and mitigation of phishing and malware on the open Internet.

### Data & Sources

This report is a collaboration with AV-TEST, a member of the [Anti-Malware Testing Standards Organization](#), analysing samples from various sources with AV-TEST's AV Multiscanner system as well as static and dynamic analysis tools. The report aims to provide the industry with evidence and information on the distribution of phishing and malware across the ecosystem. The project will begin by examining the harm caused by malware and phishing. Phishing and malware have been chosen as the focus because there is generally sufficient verifiable evidence of the security threat they pose.

In future reports, we may include other types of abuse and additional metrics, or combine various data points, provided they are consistent with the mission of topDNS and the priorities





chosen for this report. The topDNS Initiative also works very closely with other initiatives, such as the NetBeacon Institute, to work together on data and to reduce online abuse. As a result, we view this report as a complement to the [NetBeacon MAP: Monthly Analysis](#) which provides detailed statistics and data for domain name registries and registrars.

It is important to recognise the limitations of this work. The universal challenge of understanding malicious activity in society means that we can only measure identified and verified harm.

Phishing and malware that has been identified and verified will always be a subset of all existing phishing and malware. There will also be 'false positives', i.e. URLs categorised as phishing or malware when they actually aren't, due to classification errors and differences in standards. Additionally, there is a possibility that reported abuse is biased towards particular geographic regions or activities that are more likely to be reported.

We are committed to refining this project as we go along, and we welcome insights from across the industry to help us improve and iterate. If you would like to get in touch with the topDNS Initiative, please contact: [topdns@eco.de](mailto:topdns@eco.de)

## About

### eco – Association of the Internet Industry

With approximately 1,000 member companies, eco (<https://international.eco.de>) is the leading Association of the Internet Industry in Europe. Since 1995, eco has been highly instrumental in shaping the Internet, fostering new technologies, forming framework conditions, and representing the interests of its members in politics and international forums. eco has offices based in Cologne, Berlin and Brussels. In its work, eco primarily advocates for a high-performance, reliable and trustworthy ecosystem of digital infrastructures and services.

### topDNS Initiative

The stable, safe and secure operation of the DNS has proven to be the foundation for the global expansion of the Internet as a universal public resource. However, like any other innovation and every technology, the Internet and the DNS are vulnerable to abuse, such as malware, botnets, phishing, pharming or spam. The topDNS Initiative (<https://topdns.eco>) and its members are committed to fighting DNS abuse.

### AV-TEST Institute

AV-TEST (<https://www.av-test.org/en>) is an independent supplier of services in the fields of IT Security and Antivirus Research, focusing on the detection and analysis of the latest malicious software and its use in comprehensive comparative testing of security products.

Due to the timeliness of the testing data, malware can instantly be analysed and categorised, trends within virus development can be detected early, and IT-security solutions can be tested and certified. The AV-TEST Institute's results provide an exclusive basis of information helping vendors to optimize their products, special interest magazines to publish research data, and end users to make good product choices.

AV-TEST has operated out of Magdeburg (Germany) since 2004 and employs more than 30 team members, professionals with extensive practical experience. The AV-TEST laboratories include 500 client and server systems, where more than 3,500 terabytes of independently collected test data, containing both malicious and harmless sample information, are stored and processed.